Architectures Lecture 2

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu

School of Computer Science Wits University

August 8, 2013

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu Advanced Operating Systems (COMS4001)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

5900

э

Introduction

- Architectural Styles
- System Architectures
 - Centralized Architectures
 - Decentralized Architectures
 - Hybrid Architectures

• Architectures versus Middleware

- Interceptors
- General Approaches to Adaptive Software
- Self-management in Distributed Systems
 - The Feedback Control Model

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ



- Introduction
- Architectural Styles
- System Architectures
 - Centralized Architectures
 - Decentralized Architectures
 - Hybrid Architectures
- Architectures versus Middleware
 - Interceptors
 - General Approaches to Adaptive Software
- Self-management in Distributed Systems
 - The Feedback Control Model

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Introduction

- Distributed systems are complex, with components dispersed across multiple machines.
- Can master complexity in such systems through proper organization.
- Different ways of how organization of such systems can be viewed.
 - Distinction between *logical* organization of software components and the actual *physical* realization of these systems.
- Distributed system organization is *mostly* about *software components* that constitute the system.
 - These *software architectures* tell us about the *organization* and *interaction* of the different software components.



- The actual realization of a distributed system is about placing software components on real (physical) machines.
- This can be done in several ways. An architecture may be realized in a
 - *centralized* manner, with most components being placed on a single machine, or it
 - *decentralized* manner, with most machines having more or less the same functionality, or it
 - *hybrid* manner, which combines the above two realizations.
- The final instantiation of a software architecture is referred to as a *system architecture*.

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Architectural Styles

- Begin by considering logical organization of distributed systems into software components (aka *software architecture*).
- Software architecture research has matured.
 - Now commonly accepted that developing large systems requires *designing* or *adopting* an architecture.
- The concept of an *architectural style* is important. It is formulated in terms of components. That is,
 - the way they are connected to each other
 - the data exchanged between them, and
 - how they are jointly configured into a system.

イロト イポト イラト イラト



- What is a *component*?
 - A replaceable modular unit with well-defined required and provided interfaces.
- What is a *connector*?
 - A mechanism that mediates communication, coordination, or cooperation among components.
- A combination of components and connectors produces various configurations.
 - These are classified into architectural styles.



- There are several architectural styles.
- For distributed systems, the most important styles are:
 - Layered architectures
 - Object-based architectures
 - Oata-centered architectures
 - Event-based architectures

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Layered Architectures

- The components organized in layered fashion.
- The component at layer L_i can only invoke those at layer L_{i-1} , but not the other way round.
- Model is highly adopted by the networking community.
- Control flows from layer to layer.
 - Requests go down the hierarchy and the results flow upwards.



• The following is a picture of a layered architecture:



Figure: Layered Architectural Style

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Э

990

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Object-based Architectures

- Each object corresponds to a component.
- Components connected through RPC mechanism.
- Along with layered architectures, they form the most important style for large software systems.
- Object-based architecture shown below:



Figure: Object-based Architectural Style

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Data-centered Architectures

- They are based on the idea that processes communicate through a common (passive or active) repository.
- A data-centered architecture is pictured as follows [1]:



Figure: Data-Centered Architectural Style



- Their principal goal is the integrability of data [1, 2].
- These architectures are mainly characterized by *access to shared data* [2].
- The centralized data repository communicates with several clients
 - The clients are independent entities, which can be modified without affecting other clients [2].
 - It is also easy to add new clients.
- Three protocols are used: communication, data definition and data manipulation.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Event-based architectures

• In [3], an event-based architecture (EBA) is defined as follows:

An EBA is an architecture based on parts that interact solely or predominantly using event notifications, instead of direct method calls.

- *Event notification* refers to a signal containing information regarding an event detected by the sender.
- There are a number of communication styles: publish/subscribe, broadcast, point-to-point.
- Processes communicate by propagating events.



- In distributed systems, event propagation is associated with *publish/subscribe* systems.
 - Events are published.
 - The only processes that are notified of these events are the ones that have subscribed to receive them.
 - For example, a process subscribing to a particular service and in turn receiving email updates.
- Sender and receiver are decoupled.
 - They communicate asynchronously.



• An event-based architecture is shown below:



Figure: Event-Based Architectural Style

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

3

590



- Focusing on publish/subscribe systems, we discuss their advantages and disadvantages.
- Advantages
 - Loosely coupled [4, 5]
 - Publishers and subscribers loosely coupled, each being able to operate on its own. regardless of the other.
 - The term *referentially decoupled* is used to denote the fact that these loosely coupled processes do not have to explicitly refer to each other.
 - Scalable
 - Systems are scalable when their size is relatively small.
 - However, this benefit is lost when systems scale up, with many servers being supported.
- Disadvantages [5]
 - Decoupling publisher from subscriber may lead to incorrect or damaging messages.

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu

Advanced Operating Systems (COMS4001)

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Shared Data-space Architectural Style

• Combining event-based architectures with data-centered architectures produces a shared data-space architecture, shown below:



Figure: Shared Data-Space Architectural Style

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と



- Such architecture may be used in several ways. For example
 - Shared distributed file systems.
 - Web-based distributed systems.
- Asynchronous communication between processes
- Advantage?
 - Process decoupled in time.
 - When communication occurs, not necessary for *both* processes to be active.
 - "Descriptive" reference
 - Instead of explicit reference, a descriptive SQL-like interface is used to access data in the repository.

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Importance Of Software Architectures

- They all seek to achieve distribution transparency.
 - However, distribution transparency requires trade-offs between performance, fault tolerance, ease-of-programming, etc.
- Different distributed applications must be solved using different applications/architectures.
 - There is no way a single distributed system can cover 90% of all possible cases.

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

System Architectures

- What is a system architecture?
 - An instance of a distributed system that results after deciding on software components, their interaction, and their *placement* (on physical machines).
- Depending on placement, we get the following distributed system architectures:
 - Centralized architectures.
 - Decentralized architectures.
 - Hybrid architectures.

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Centralized Architectures

- Based on *client-server* model.
- Processes divided into two groups.
 - A server process that implements a specific service.
 - A client process that requests a service from a server.
- Client-server interaction (*request-reply*) shown below:





- When network is fairly reliable, as is the case with many LANs, client and server can use a simple *connectionless* protocol to communicate.
- Examples of this protocol include the Internet Protocol (IP) and the User Datagram Protocol (UDP).
- A few issues to consider (read page 37 textbook):
 - How does the idea of a connectionless protocol "work"?
 - What is its advantage?
 - What is the disadvantage of using such a protocol?
 - What is an idempotent operation?



- Can also get client and server to communicate using reliable *connection-oriented* protocol.
 - Not wholly appropriate in LANs, due to low performance.
 - OK in WANs, where communication is inherently unreliable.
 - How does this protocol "work"? (Revision: read about it).

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Application Layering (Centralized Architectures)

- Many client-server applications are geared to support user access to databases.
- So, many people advocate distinction between following three levels, as in layered architectural style:
 - The user-interface level.
 - 2 The processing level.
 - 3 The data level.



• Internet search engine's organization into three layers:



Figure: Simplified Internet search engine organization

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu Advanced Operating Systems (COMS4001)

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

SQR

э



- User-interface level
 - contains facilities for direct interface with users, such as GUIs.
- Processing level
 - Contains data processing applications the core functionality.
- Data level
 - Manages data being acted on.
 - Interacts with database or file system.
 - Data usually *persistent*. Exists even when not being accessed by client.

- Read the following examples (pages 39-40 of textbook):
 - Example 1: Internet search engine.
 - Interface level: Accepts a keyword string.

- *Processing level*: Processes for generating database queries, rank replies and format response.
- Data level: Database containing web pages.
- Example 2: Decision support system.
 - Interface level: Accepts somewhat complex input than simple search.
 - *Processing level*: Methods and techniques from AI and statistics for financial data analysis.
 - Data level: Database containing financial information.
- Example 3: Desktop package.
 - Interface level: Access to documents and data.
 - Processing level: Word processing, database queries, spreadsheets, etc.
 - Data level: file systems and/or databases.

SQA

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Multitiered Architectures (Centralized Architectures)

• Two-tier and three-tier architectures are the most common.

• Two-tier Architectures

- Distinction into three logical levels makes it possible to physically distribute a client-server application across several machines.
- Simplest organization is to have only two types of machines:
 - A client machine with programs that implement (part of) user-interface level.
 - A server machine containing programs that implement processing and data levels.
- In this organization, server handles everything and client is a dumb terminal, possibly with a pretty graphical interface.



• Clients and servers can be organized by distributing programs in application layer across different machines.



Figure: Alternative client-server organizations (a)-(e)

• There are two kinds of machine: client and server. This leads to a (physically) two-tiered architecture.

MQ (P



- A note about client-server organizations
 - In one extreme, server performs processing and manages data. The client only provides a simple graphical interface (*thin client*).
 - At the other extreme, client does all application processing, and also stores some data (*fat client*).
- **Study** and **understand** the descriptions on possible client-server organizations that can be realized (**pages 41-42** of textbook).



• Three-tiered Architecture

- So far, distinction only made between client and server machines.
- However, a server may need to act as a client, leading to a **(physically) three-tiered** architecture, shown below:



Figure: An example of a server acting as a client

• Here, programs forming part of processing level reside on a separate server, but may additionally be partly distributed across client and server machines.

MQ (P



- A separate processor may be used for each application architecture layer.
- Advantages of the architecture [6]
 - Better performance compared to thin-client approach.
 - Simpler to manage, compared to fat-client approach.
 - More scalable architecture. With increasing demand, possible to add more servers.

・ロト ・部 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

-

Outline Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• Useful picture (3-tier Internet banking system [6])



Figure: An Internet banking system

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ の ()

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Decentralized Architectures

- We focus on two types of distribution: vertical and horizontal distribution.
- Vertical Distribution
 - Achieved by placing logically different components on different machines.
 - Exhibited by traditional client-server architectures.
 - Where each level serves a different purpose in the system.
 - It distributes the traditional server functionality over multiple servers [7].
 - Advantage of having a vertical distribution?
 - Functions are logically and physically split across multiple machines.

Outline Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• Useful picture - vertical distribution (adapted from [7])



Figure: The vertical distribution communication architecture

- The first server receives the client's request.
- The request is passed on to the next server, and so on, until the last server is reached.
- To fulfill the client's request, each server does its bit in its own tier (step).

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

= na(~


- Benefits? Scalability and flexibility [7]
 - Scalability improved since, with each server having less processing load, the system is able to take up more users.
 - The internal functionality of each server can be modified, which makes the architecture flexible.

• Horizontal Distribution

- More common in modern architectures.
- Involves distribution of clients and servers.
- A client or server is physically split up into logically equivalent parts.
 - Each part operates on its own share of complete data set, thus balancing the load.

・ロト ・部 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

-

SQA

Introduction Architectural Styles Outline System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• Useful picture [7]



Figure: Horizontal distribution - Web services example

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Э

Sac



- Each server computer has its own copy of all hosted Web pages.
- The servers handle client requests in round robin fashion.
- Benefits? Scalability and reliability.
 - Scalability is achieved by using a number of servers, thereby reducing the load on each server.
 - Since each server contains the same web pages, such redundancy provides reliability.

・ロト ・部 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Outline

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Peer-to-peer (P2P) Systems (Decentralized Architectures)

- A class of modern system architectures that support horizontal distribution.
- Resources are distributed and shared among *peers* (user processes).
- Tasks that must be performed are performed by every process that forms part of the distributed system.
- Characteristics of P2P systems.
 - All processes in a P2P system are equal.
 - The processes interact *symmetrically*.
 - Each process acts as client and server at the same time (i.e., acting as a *servent*).

Outline Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• Useful picture (P2P network - adapted from [7])



Figure: Peer-to-peer Communication Architecture

• In this figure, each process acts as client and server

Э

Sac



- A large number of nodes may participate in a P2P network.
 - This makes it difficult for nodes to keep track of each other, and the information each one has.
- To minimize this problem, the nodes are arranged in an *overlay network*.
 - This is a virtual network the nodes form among themselves.
 - The network is built on top of a physical network.
 - A connection between two overlay nodes may consist of several physical connections
 - A node wanting to send a message to another node locates the node by sending a request along the overlay network links.
 - Once the target node has been located, the two nodes are then able to communicate

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• Useful picture: Overlay network [7]



Figure: Overlay Network Example

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu Advanced Operating Systems (COMS4001)

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ モト・

3

990



- There are two types of overlay networks: *structured* and *unstructured*.
- In both, a node in the network maintains a list of its neighbours (referred to as its *partial view*)
- Structured Peer-to-Peer Architectures
 - The nodes are organized using a *distributed hash table* (DHT).
 - Generally, a hash function converts a key to a hash value that is used to index a hash table.
 - In a DHT-based system, data items are assigned a random key from a large identifier space, such as a 128-bit or 160-bit identifier.
 - Nodes also assigned random numbers from the same identifier space.
 - The key is to implement an efficient scheme that uses some distance metric to uniquely map a data item's key to a node's identifier.



- Looking up a data item returns the network address of the node that stores that data item.
- DHT characteristics
 - *Scalable*: To thousands and millions of network nodes. Slow increase of search time with size (i.e., O(log(N))).
 - *Fault tolerant*: In the event of node failure, system is able to re-organize itself.
 - Decentralized: No central coordinator.
- Example: Chord A Structured P2P System
 - Each data item gets assigned a random key from a large identifier space.
 - Each node gets assigned a random identifier from the same identifier space.
 - Nodes logically organized in a ring such that data item with key k is mapped to a node with smallest identifier id≥k.



• This node is referred to as the *successor* of key k and denoted as succ(k), as shown below.



Figure: The mapping of data items onto nodes in Chord

э



- To look up an item, an application running on arbitrary node calls function LOOKUP(k), which returns the network address of succ(k).
- The application contacts the node (succ(k)), from which it obtains a copy of the required data item.
- Membership management in Chord
 - A node joining the system generates a random identifier id.
 - It then performs a lookup on id (LOOKUP(id)), which returns the network address of succ(id).
 - At that point, the joining node can contact succ(id) and its predecessor and insert itself in the ring.
 - A node id leaves ring by informing its successor and predecessor of its departure and transferring its data items to succ(id).
 - Similar approaches used in other DHT-based systems (Read about CAN networks pp. 45-46 of textbook).

• Unstructured Peer-to-Peer Architectures

Outline

- The overlay network is constructed as a random graph, using randomized algorithms.
- Main idea
 - Each node keeps a randomly constructed list of neighbours.
 - Nodes also contain randomly placed data items
 - A node looking for a specific data item floods network with a search query.
- Basic model
 - Each node has a list of c neighbours, each representing a randomly chosen live node from current set of nodes.
 - The list of neighbours constitute a partial view.

SQA



• Membership management

- A node joins the network by contacting any node from a list of well-known access points.
- A node simply leaves the network, without notifying any other node.
- This architecture is OK for small-to-medium sized networks. However, it does not scale well.
- It is used in systems such as Gnutella and Freenet.
- Note: Do NOT read the part on Topology Management of Overlay Networks (pages 49-50 of textbook).
- Note: You MUST read the part on Superpeers (pages 50-52 of textbook)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

SQA



Superpeers

- The growth of the network in unstructured peer-to-peer systems makes it difficult to locate data.
- It is difficult to find a way of routing a lookup request to a specific data item. So, one option a node has is to flood the system with its request.
- The alternative is to use special nodes, known as *superpeers*, that maintain an index of data items.

1



• Superpeers often organized in peer-to-peer network, leading to a hierarchical organization:



Figure: A hierarchical organization of nodes into a superpeer network

• Every regular peer is a client of a superpeer, which also controls all communication to and from the regular peer.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3



- The client-superpeer relation is mostly fixed. A regular peer joining the network attaches itself to one of the superpeers. It remains there until it leaves the network.
- Superpeer networks introduce a new problem. That is, how do we select the nodes that are destined to be superpeers?
- This problem closely related to leader-election problem, which deals with electing superpeers in a peer-to-peer network.

<ロト <同ト < 国ト < 国ト

nar

Outline

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Hybrid Architectures

- Many distributed systems combine architectural features.
- We look at distributed systems that combine client-server solutions with decentralized architectures.

• Edge-Server Systems

- Their organization is based on a hybrid architecture.
- They are deployed on the Internet, with their servers being situated "at the edge" of the network.
 - The edge is formed by the boundary between enterprise networks and the actual Internet.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



- Clients connect to the Internet via the edge server.
- We can consider an ISP as an edge server, where users connect to the Internet via their ISPs.



Figure: The Internet as consisting of a collection of edge servers

- Edge server serves content, after applying filtering and transcoding functions.
- In an organization, a single edge server can be used as an *origin server* that generates all content.

MQ (P



• Collaborative Distributed Systems

- These systems also contain hybrid structures.
- Their main objective is to support collaboration between communicating entities.
- These systems "start off" by using a traditional client-server scheme.
 - Then, after joining system, a node uses a fully decentralized scheme for collaboration.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

SQA



• Example 1: BitTorrent

- A file-sharing system that uses the P2P scheme for downloading files.
- Useful picture



Figure: The principal working of BitTorrent

Lecturer: Mike Mchunu Advanced Operating Systems (COMS4001)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Э

nar



- An end user looking for a file downloads chunks of it from other users. These chunks are then assembled to produce the complete file
- An important design goal behind BitTorrent was to ensure collaboration.
- A file can only be downloaded on condition the downloading client is providing content to someone else (so-called "tit-for-tat" approach).
- **Read** the details on how BitTorrent files are downloaded (last two paragraphs, **pages 53-54** of textbook).
- Example 2: Read about the *Globule* system, on page 54 of textbook.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-

SQA

Outline

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures **Architectures versus Middleware** Self-management in Distributed Systems

Architectures versus Middleware

• Middleware forms layer between applications and distributed platforms:



Figure: A distributed system organized as middleware

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と ・

3

SQA

• Important purpose is to provide degree of distribution transparency.

Outline

- We want to hide from applications aspects such as data distribution, processing and control.
- Middleware systems follow a specific architectural style.
 - Many middleware solutions, such as CORBA, have adopted an *object-based* architectural style.
 - Others, such as TIB/Rendezvous, follow event-based architectural style.
- Using middleware of a particular architectural style makes it simpler to design applications.
 - But, the middleware may no longer be suitable for the application developer's purpose.
 - For example, adding new features to the middleware may yield bloated middleware solutions.



- Middleware is meant to provide distribution transparency.
- However, specific middleware solutions should be modified to suit application requirements.
- One solution is to come up with several versions of a middleware system.
 - Each version is tailored to a specific class of applications.
- A better approach is to come up with middleware systems that are easy to configure, adapt and customize, as required by an application.
- Using several mechanisms, the behaviour of middleware can be modified.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

Interceptors

• This is a software construct that breaks the usual flow of control and allows other code to be executed.

Outline

- Making interceptors generic requires substantial implementation effort.
- On other hand, limited interception improves management of the software and the distributed system as a whole.
- Example: Interceptors in object-based distributed systems.
 - Consider an object A calling a method belonging to another object B, located on a different machine.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <



- This remote-object invocation follows a three-step approach:
 - A's local interface is the same as B's. A calls a method available in that interface.
 - A's call is changed into a generic object invocation.
 - The generic object invocation is transformed into a message that is sent through the transport-level network interface offered by A's local OS.

・ロト ・部 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

-

SQA

Introduction Architectural Styles Outline System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

• The scheme "works" as follows:



Figure: Using interceptors to handle remote-object invocation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

= nar

• After the first step, the call

B.do_something(value)

is transformed by the interceptor into a general call such as

invoke(B,&do_something,value),

with a reference to B's method and the parameters that go along with the call.

- Suppose object B is replicated.
 - In such a situation, each replica should be invoked.
- This is a case where interception is able to help.
 - The *request-level interceptor* calls

invoke(B,&do_something,value),

for each of the replicas.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



- The good thing about this is that object A need not be aware of the replication of B. The middleware also does not need any special components to handle this replicated call.
- Only the request-level interceptor, which may be *added* to the middleware, needs to know about B's replication.
- The call to the remote object B must be conveyed over the network, which requires that the messaging interface offered by the local OS be invoked.
 - A *message-level interceptor* will be used to transfer the invocation to the target object.

・ロト ・部 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Outline

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

General Approaches to Adaptive Software

- Interceptors offer the means to adapt the middleware.
- Adaptation is necessitated by continuous changes in the distributed application environment.
 - These changes are due to several factors: mobility, strong variance in the quality-of-service of networks, failing hardware and battery damage.
- The middleware, and not the applications, must be able to react to these changes.
- These strong environmental influences have prompted middleware designers to consider constructing *adaptive software*.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



- Adaptive software has not lived up to its promise.
- However, it is considered by many researchers and developers to be an important aspect of distributed systems.
- The following techniques "characterize" software adaptation:
 - Separation of concerns
 - Separate the parts that implement functionality from those that take care of other things (i.e. *extra functionalities*).
 - 2 Computational reflection
 - The ability of a program to inspect itself and, if necessary, adapt its behaviour.
 - Scomponent-based design
 - It supports adaptation through composition.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Introduction Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

The Feedback Control Model

- Focus on organization of distributed systems as high-level feedback-control systems catering for automatic adaptations to changes.
 - Phenomenon is also known as *autonomic computing* or *self-star* systems.
- There are different views regarding self-managing systems.
- However, the common assumption is that adaptations occur through one or more *feedback control loops*.
 - Systems organized on this basis are known as *feedback control systems*.



• The following figure illustrates the basic idea of a feedback control system.



Figure: The logical organization of a feedback control system

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

э



- The components being managed form the core of feedback control system.
 - Assumption: Components are driven through controllable input parameters.
 - However, their behaviour may be influenced by all kinds of uncontrollable input, also known as disturbance or noise input.
- Three elements constitute a feedback control loop.
 - First, the system must be monitored by measuring its various aspects.
 - Measuring such behaviour is not easy.
 - Another problem is when a node A must estimate the latency between two other completely different nodes B and C, without being able to intrude on either two nodes.
 - For these reasons, a feedback control loop contains metric estimation component.



- Another part of a feedback control loop analyzes measurements and compares them to reference values.
 - This *feedback analysis component* forms the heart of the control loop.
 - It contains algorithms to be used when adaptations need to be made.
- The last group of components contain mechanisms that influence system behaviour.
 - The analysis component will trigger one or several of these mechanisms, and later observe the effect.
- Reading Task: Study Section 2.4.3 Differentiating Replication Strategies in Globule: pp. 63-65 of textbook). Include Section 2.4.3.
 - Do **NOT** read Section2.4.4.

Outline

Architectural Styles System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

References I

- TU Graz, 2008. Architectural Styles, http://coronet.iicm.tugraz.at/sa/s5/sa_styles.html
- Pree W., 2005. Architectural styles (according to CMU's SEI), http://www.softwareresearch.net/fileadmin/src/.../02_ArchStyles
- Dr Dobb's, 2008. Event-Based Architectures, http://drdobbs.com/architecture-and-design/208801141
- Tanenbaum A. S., Van Steen M., 2007. Distributed Systems -Principles and Paradigms, Second Edition, Prentice Hall.
- Wikepedia, 2011. Publish/subscribe, http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php,last modified on 22
 September 2011 at 09:56.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Introduction Architectural Styles Outline System Architectures Architectures versus Middleware Self-management in Distributed Systems

References II

- Sommerville I., 2004. Software Engineering, 7th Edition, Chapter 12.
- Kuz I., Rauch F., Chakravarty M. M. T., Heiser G. COMP9243 - Week 2 (10s1), The University of New South Wales, School of Computer Science & Engineering

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >